

PG&E CAO Workshop Evening Session Report Out

- 1) Prescriptive v. Performance
 - a. General favor of performance based requirements, with some specific requirements
 - b. Prescriptive requirements for sampling, replacement water
 - c. Performance based requirements for remediation
 - d. Mapping requirements no specific consensus
 - e. How do you build in adaptive management into requirements?
 - f. Needs to be protective of water quality
 - g. Get CAO done in such a way that don't have to keep coming back to it.

- 2) Northern and Western Areas and USGS Study
 - a. Continue to watch low levels in north, focusing on public health/monitoring domestic wells
 - b. If there are hot spots, do something, but not something that would require design/engineering, such as pumping. Don't need to remediate the entire north.
 - c. As new information as part of USGS study or other information as developed, incorporate it as appropriate (adaptive management)

- 3) Specific Deadlines v. Remediation Goals
 - a. Deadlines needed to ensure progress being made
 - b. If not reaching goal – not straight to violation, but corrective course
 - c. Adaptive management with end goal and milestones/measurement of progress

- 4) Replacement Water
 - a. Get rid of term “affected area”
 - b. Focus on 10 ppb MCL
 - c. In north continue to monitor and provide RO as appropriate

- 5) MRP
 - a. Counterproposal in south – all in agreement
 - b. Not in agreement on northern monitoring well sampling and southern domestic well frequency
 - c. Prescriptive requirements to transition to performance based requirements based on data/decision tree

- 6) Community Issues
 - a. Complex issues/technical need to be broken down for lay people

- b. Identifying tools and information to demonstrate to realtors/banks/etc what property is safe and not contaminated
- c. Future of Hinkley – rebuild reputation, CAO provides certainty so that community can grow
- d. Trust – between community, water board, and PG&E
- e. IRP manager doing good job at this time and ideas suggested for how IRP could be hub of technical and community assistance for the entire community, including individuals, using many methods of communication and engagement